

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,753	07/09/2003	Fred Mac Siebenthall	GEN-0346	2327
Philmore F	7590 05/11/20 I. Colburn II	EXAMINER		
Cantor Col		ROMAN, LUIS ENRIQUE		
55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2836	
:			MAIL DATÉ	DELIVERY MODE
		·	05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		TH			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/616,753	SIEBENTHALL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Luis Roman	2836			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  • period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	21 February 2007.				
,— ,	· ·				
·					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc</li> </ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority doc</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li></ul>	uments have been received in a ne priority documents have been				
* See the attached detailed Office action fo		t received.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
.1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2836

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/02/07 has been entered.

Applicant amendment filed on 03/02/07 has been entered. Accordingly claims 2-21 & 23-39 have been kept original, claims 1 & 22 have been amended and no claims have been cancelled or added new. It also included remarks/arguments.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 6, 8-10, 16, 22-23, 27, 29-31 & 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over H. C. Anderson (US 3440528) in view of Kane (US 4614925) and Sementchenko (US 6215307).

Regarding claims 1 & 22 H. C. Anderson discloses an electromagnetic interference filtering apparatus/method (a person of the ordinary skill will understand a

Art Unit: 2836

method that is intrinsically described by the functioning of the apparatus) comprising: a first filter (Fig. 2 elements 32<left>) with a first end and a second end, said first end is operably connected in series with a first power input terminal of the apparatus (Fig. 2 element POWER SOURCE) said second end is operably connected to a first output terminal of the apparatus (Fig. 2 element CABLE<thru the series path>) thereby disposing said first filter in series and between said first power input terminal and said first output terminal, and an impedance comprising an inductance in series with said filter (Fig. 2 element 32<right>), said impedance also including a capacitance connected to a ground (Fig. 2 element 34<right>) wherein said impedance is configured to facilitate matching of an input impedance of the filtering apparatus with that of a voltage source and said inductance and capacitance cooperate to provide filtering of electromagnetic interference (Claim 1).

### H. C. Anderson does not disclose:

a first conductor on a first layer of a circuit card forming a distributed inductance in series with said filter, said impedance also including a second conductor on a second layer of said circuit card operably connected to a ground, wherein said first conductor and said second conductor are disposed on opposing sides of said circuit card having an area of overlap between said first conductor and said second conductor; wherein said distributed capacitance is readily adjustable to various RF filtering requirements via adjustment of said area of overlap.

Kane teaches a first conductor on a first layer of a circuit card forming a distributed inductance in series with said filter, said impedance also including a second conductor on a second layer of said circuit card operably connected to a ground (Col. 11 lines 35-39 & Fig. 16(a)<this figure shows the distributed inductance and capacitance, impedance, on a dielectric substrate>).

Sementchenko teaches an RF coil arrangement with distributed capacitance and inductances on opposite sides of a circuit board with an overlapping area to adjust the impedance (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson device with the Kane teachings

Art Unit: 2836

because it provide a resonator filter which is composed of an integral construction of inductor and capacitor components, is low in profile, small in size, mechanically stable, has tuning frequencies and Q less dependent on temperature, is stable at high frequencies without suffering from adverse influences due to connector leads, and has a reduced number of components which permit its manufacturing process to be improved (Kane <Col. 2 lines 23-32>) and further with the RF coil arrangement of Sementchenko because it allows adjustment of the capacitors (Sementchenko <Abstract>).

Regarding claims 2/23 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 1/22.

H. C. Anderson further discloses a second filter operably connected to the first power input terminal and a ground (Fig. 2 element 32<middle>, 34<left>).

Regarding claims 6/27 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 1/22.

H. C. Anderson further discloses including a fourth filter (Fig. 2 elements 36, 38).

Regarding claims 8/29 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 1/22.

Kane further discloses including at least one of: a first bus bar operably connected to said first power input terminal and said circuit card; and a second bus bar operably connected to said second power input terminal and said circuit card, said first bus bar and said second bus bar comprising a preformed conductor (Col. 17 lines 23-30 & Fig. 36 elements 98,101).

Regarding claims 9/30 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 8/29.

Kane further discloses wherein said first and second bus bars are configured to conduct current from an input connector to said circuit card, said first and said second

Art Unit: 2836

bus bars including a flat portion to facilitate conduction with a conductor of said circuit card (Fig. 36 elements 98,101).

Regarding claims 10/31 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 8/29.

Kane further discloses wherein said first and second bus bars are configured to substantially eliminate strain exerted on said circuit card (Fig. 36 elements 98,101<lt is implicitly disclosed since a mechanical stronger connection is needed between the printed circuit board and the external devices connected to it in order not to damage it when connecting/disconnecting>).

Regarding claims 16/37 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 1/22.

H. C. Anderson further discloses wherein said first and second power terminal corresponding to a voltage source and a ground reference (Fig. 2 POWER SOURCE, CABLE, ground).

Claims 3, 7, 24 & 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over H. C. Anderson (US 3440528) in view of Kane (US 4614925), Sementchenko (US 6215307) and Roberts, Jr. et al. (US 6661634).

Regarding claims 3/24 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 2/22.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose wherein said second filter comprises a first capacitor and a second capacitor, each capacitor with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first capacitor is operably connected to said first power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to a ground and wherein said first end of said second capacitor is operably connected to said second power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to said ground.

Art Unit: 2836

Roberts, Jr. et al. teaches wherein said second filter comprises a first capacitor and a second capacitor, each capacitor with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first capacitor is operably connected to said first power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to a ground and wherein said first end of said second capacitor is operably connected to said second power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to said ground (Fig. 1 element 120).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko device with the Roberts, Jr. et al. device features because this capacitors will act like shorts to ground for a high frequency voltage as a result they will help to filter any surge in the apparatus.

Regarding claims 7/28 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claim 6/27.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose wherein said fourth filter comprises a fourth capacitor and a fifth capacitor, each capacitor with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said fourth capacitor is operably connected to said first output terminal and said second end is operably connected to a ground and wherein said first end of said fifth capacitor is operably connected to said second output terminal and said second end is operably connected to a ground.

Roberts, Jr. et al. teaches wherein a filter comprises a first capacitor and a second capacitor, each capacitor with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first capacitor is operably connected to said first power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to a ground and wherein said first end of said second capacitor is operably connected to said second power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to said ground (Fig. 1 element 120).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko device with the Roberts, Jr. et al. device features because this capacitors will act like shorts to ground for a high frequency voltage as a result they will help to filter any surge

Art Unit: 2836

in the apparatus. Moreover, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skills in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Claims 4-5, 17, 25-26 & 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over H. C. Anderson (US 3440528) in view of Kane (US 4614925), Sementchenko (US 6215307) and Havot et al. (US 5121078).

Regarding claims 4/25 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 3/22.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose a third filter with a first end and a second end, said first end is operably connected in series with said second power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to a second output terminal.

Havot et al. teaches wherein a filter with a first end and a second end, said first end is operably connected in series with said first power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to a first output terminal (Col. 1 lines 28-41 & Fig. 1 element C). It is implicitly disclosed that in a symmetric circuit the capacitor may be connected in series to the first power input terminal path/first output terminal and/or in series to the second power input terminal path/second output terminal).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko device with the Havot et al. device features because this capacitor will attenuate/filter a desired range of high frequencies depending on the values chosen for the components.

Regarding claims 5/26 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane, Sementchenko and Havot et al. discloses the apparatus/method of claim 4/25.

H. C. Anderson further discloses including another impedance comprising an inductance in series with said third filter, said another impedance also including a capacitance operably connected to a ground (Fig. 7 elements 12, 13, 14), wherein said

Art Unit: 2836

impedance is configured to facilitate matching of an input impedance of the filtering apparatus with that of a voltage source and said inductance and capacitance cooperate to provide filtering of electromagnetic interference (Col. 7 lines 18-25, the purpose of this matching circuit it is to match impedances of the source with the line and also to filter high frequencies).

Kane further teaches a first conductor on a first layer of a circuit card forming a distributed inductance in series with said filter, said impedance also including a second conductor on a second layer of said circuit card operably connected to a ground (Col. 11 lines 35-39 & Fig. 16(a) stributed inductance and capacitance, impedance, on a dielectric substrate<).

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane, Sementchenko and Havot et al. does not disclose a third conductor on a first layer of a circuit card forming a distributed inductance in series with said filter, said impedance also including a fourth conductor on a second layer of said circuit card operably connected to a ground.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane, Sementchenko and Havot et al. device with the third and fourth conductor since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skills in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claims 17/38 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 1/22.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose wherein said first filter comprises an inductance and capacitance operably connected in parallel.

Havot et al. teaches wherein said first filter comprises an inductance and capacitance operably connected in parallel (Fig. 1 elements L, C).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko device with the Havot et al. device features because this capacitance in parallel with the

Art Unit: 2836

inductance produces an attenuation at a frequency determined by the values of the capacitor and the inductor.

Claims 11 & 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over H. C. Anderson (US 3440528) in view of Kane (US 4614925), Sementchenko (US 6215307) and Brandeau (US 4750266).

Regarding claims 11/32 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 8/29.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose wherein said first and second bus bars are configured to facilitate matching of an input impedance of the filtering apparatus with that of a voltage source.

Brandeau teaches wherein said first and second bus bars are configured to facilitate matching of an input impedance of the filtering apparatus with that of a voltage source (Col. 1 lines 12-29<it is obvious that the flat wire may be a metal bar, as both are conductors>).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko device with the Brandeau device because the matching of impedances will prevent from distortion, attenuation and cross-talk of the low power electronic signals traveling along the cable/bar/bus.

Claims 12 & 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over H. C. Anderson (US 3440528) in view of Kane (US 4614925), Sementchenko (US 6215307) and Shimada et al. (US 6020867).

Regarding claims 12/33 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 8/30.

Art Unit: 2836

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose wherein said first and second bus bars are configured to eliminate cable to connector crimp connections to reduce power dissipation and heat generation.

Shimada et al. teaches wherein said first and second bus bars are configured to eliminate cable to connector crimp connections to reduce power dissipation and heat generation (Col. 73 lines 54-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko device with the Shimada et al. device because it minimizes an adverse thermal effect, such as heat distortion.

Claims 13-14, 18-19, 34-35 & 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over H. C. Anderson (US 3440528) in view of Kane (US 4614925), Sementchenko (US 6215307) and Stahl (US 5388021).

Regarding claims 13/34 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 1/22.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose further including a first and a second of transient absorption devices each transient absorption device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first transient absorption device is operably connected to said first power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to a chassis ground and wherein said first end of said second transient absorption device is operably connected to said second power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to said chassis ground.

Stahl teaches including a first and a second of transient absorption devices each transient absorption device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first transient absorption device is operably connected to said first power input terminal (Fig. 13 elements 28<left>, 11, 15) and said second end is operably connected to a chassis ground (Fig. 13 elements 28<left>, ground) and wherein said first end of said second transient absorption device is operably connected to said second power

Art Unit: 2836

input terminal (Fig. 13 elements 28<right>, 12, 15) and said second end is operably connected to said chassis ground (Fig. 13 elements 28<right>, ground).

Regarding claims 14/35 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 1/22.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose further including a transient absorption device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first transient absorption device is operably connected to said first power terminal and said second end is operably connected to said second power terminal.

Stahl teaches a transient absorption device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first transient absorption device is operably connected to said first power terminal and said second end is operably connected to said second power terminal (Fig. 13 elements 42, 11, 12, 15).

Regarding claims 18/39 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 1/22.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose further including a third and a fourth transient absorption device each transient absorption device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first transient absorption device is operably connected to said first output terminal and said second end is operably connected to a chassis ground and wherein said first end of said second transient absorption device is operably connected to said second output terminal and said second end is operably connected to said chassis ground.

Stahl teaches further including a third and a fourth transient absorption device each transient absorption device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first transient absorption device is operably connected to said first output terminal (Fig. 13 elements 29<right>, 13) and said second end is operably connected to a chassis ground (Fig. 13 elements 29<right>, ground) and wherein said first end of said second transient absorption device is operably connected to said second output

Art Unit: 2836

terminal (Fig. 13 elements 29<left>, 14) and said second end is operably connected to said chassis ground (Fig. 13 elements 29<left>, ground).

Regarding claim 19 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus of claim 1.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose further including another transient absorption device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first transient absorption device is operably connected to said first output terminal and said second end operably connected to said second output terminal.

Stahl teaches further including another transient absorption device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first transient absorption device is operably connected to said first output terminal and said second end operably connected to said second output terminal (Fig. 13 elements 32, 13, 14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko device with the Stahl device because it provides voltage surge and transient suppressor using clusters of metal oxide varistors which represents a device light in weight and compact in size.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over H. C. Anderson (US 3440528) in view of Kane (US 4614925), Sementchenko (US 6215307) and Roberts, Jr. et al. (US 6661634).

Regarding claim 21 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus of claim 1.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose further including a connector in operable communication with said first power input terminal. Roberts, Jr. et al. teaches a connector in operable communication with said first power input terminal (Fig. 2 elements 95, 90).

Art Unit: 2836

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson device with the Roberts, Jr. et al. device because it provides a way of connecting/disconnecting the device from the power input terminal as required.

Claims 15 & 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over H. C. Anderson (US 3440528) in view of Kane (US 4614925), Sementchenko (US 6215307) and Bender (US 6926288).

Regarding claims 15/36 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus/method of claims 1/22.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not disclose further including a first and a second resistor-capacitor filter comprising a series combination of a resistor and a capacitor, each resistor-capacitor filter with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first resistor-capacitor filter is operably connected to said first power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to a chassis ground and wherein said first end of said second resistor-capacitor filter is operably connected to said second power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to a chassis ground.

Bender teaches a resistor-capacitor filter comprising a series combination of a resistor and a capacitor, said resistor-capacitor filter with a first end and a second end, wherein said resistor-capacitor filter is operably connected to said first power input terminal and said second end is operably connected to a chassis ground (Col. 8 lines 56-59 & Fig. 4(b) elements R, C).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko device with the Bender device because the dampening mechanism can serially connect a resistance to a decoupling capacitance to protect the device and reduce the conducted and radiated EMI emissions.

Art Unit: 2836

Moreover, Bender discloses the claimed limitation except for the second resistor-capacitor filter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to add a second resistor-capacitor filter to the negative terminal of the source due to symmetry of the device, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skills in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over H. C. Anderson (US 3440528) in view of Kane (US 4614925), Sementchenko (US 6215307) and Knigge et al. (US 6639779).

Regarding claim 20 H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko discloses the apparatus of claim 1.

H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko does not further disclose including a first and a second positive temperature coefficient device, each positive temperature coefficient device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first positive temperature coefficient device is operably connected to said first output terminal and said second end is operably connected to a load and wherein said first end of said second positive temperature coefficient device is operably connected to said second output terminal and said second end operably connected to said load.

Knigge et al. teaches including a first and a second positive temperature coefficient device, each positive temperature coefficient device with a first end and a second end, wherein said first end of said first positive temperature coefficient device is operably connected to said first output terminal and said second end is operably connected to a load and wherein said first end of said second positive temperature coefficient device is operably connected to said second output terminal and said second end operably connected to said load (Fig. 5A elements R2, R3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the H. C. Anderson in view of Kane and Sementchenko

Art Unit: 2836

device with the Knigge et al. device because this devices will protect the filter from excessive heat which may produce a malfunction or damage to the device.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/02/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument in reference to the limitation "wherein said first conductor and said second conductor are disposed on opposing sides of said circuit card having an area of overlap between said first conductor and said second conductor; wherein said distributed capacitance is readily adjustable to various RF filtering requirements via adjustment of said area of overlap" is an amendment which was addressed with Sementchenko (US 6215307).

In reference to the motivation of the combination of H. C. Anderson (US 3440528), Kane (US 4614925) and Sementchenko (US 6215307), the examiner notes that the H. C. Anderson device will be improved with the Kane teachings because it provide a resonator filter which is composed of an integral construction of inductor and capacitor components, is low in profile, small in size, mechanically stable, has tuning frequencies and Q less dependent on temperature, is stable at high frequencies without suffering from adverse influences due to connector leads, and has a reduced number of components which permit its manufacturing process to be improved (Kane <Col. 2 lines 23-32>) and further with the RF coil arrangement of Sementchenko because it allows adjustment of the capacitors (Sementchenko <Abstract>).

Art Unit: 2836

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luis E. Román whose telephone number is (571) 272-5527. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon – Fri from 7:15 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Luis E. Román Patent Examiner Art Unit 2836

LR/05/03/07

MICHAEL SHERRY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800